Case: 1:04-cv-06214 Document #: 20 Filed: 12/28/04 Page 1 of 2 PageID #:100

Minute Order Form (06/97)

## United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge			James F. I	Holderman	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge		
CASE NUMBER			04 C	6214	DATE	December	28, 2004
CASE A			A	ANDREW CORP vs. BEVERLY MANUFACTURING CO			
[In the following box (a of the motion being pro				) indicate the party filing the motion, e.g., plaintiff, defendant, 3rd party plaintiff, and (b) state briefly the nature sented.]			
DOCKET ENTRY:							
(1)		Filed motion of [ use listing in "Motion" box above.]					
(2)		Brief in support of motion due					
(3)		Answer brief to motion due Reply to answer brief due					
(4)		Ruling/Hearing on set for at					
(5)		Status hearing[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at					
(6)		Pretrial conference[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at					
(7)		Trial[set for/re-set for] on at					
(8)		[Bench/Jury trial] [Hearing] held/continued to at					
(9)		This case is dismissed [with/without] prejudice and without costs[by/agreement/pursuant to]  ☐ FRCP4(m) ☐ Local Rule 41.1 ☐ FRCP41(a)(1) ☐ FRCP41(a)(2).					
(10)							s motion to strike
defendant's unit affirmative defense is intoot.							
(11)   [For further detail see order (on reverse side of/attached to) the original minute order.]							
	No notices rec	quired, a	dvised in open court.				Document Number
	No notices required.					number of notices	Proposition Control of the Control o
X	Notices maile				]	DEC 2 9 2004	
	Notified counsel by telephone.  Docketing to mail notices.				ŀ	data docketed	20
Mail AO 450 form.				rana kabulan		docketing deputy initials	20
Copy to judge/magistrate judge.				randi. Taintsia .e	T)	17 18-1001	
			courtroom / 기	4 MA 88 000 4	<u>R</u> ñ2	date mailed notice	
	JS -{		deputy's initials			L	
	·	1	ı	Date/time	received in erk's Office	mailing deputy initials	

## 04 C 6214

Defendant Beverly Manufacturing Company, ("Beverly"), filed its answer, affirmative defenses and counterclaim on November 18, 2004. (Dkt. No. 12.) Beverly's third affirmative defense asserted that "this court lacks personal jurisdiction over Beverly Manufacturing due to insufficiency of service of process." (Dkt. No. 12 at 6.) Plaintiff Andrew Corporation ("Andrew"), filed a motion to strike Beverly's third affirmative defense on November 29, 2004. (Dkt. No. 16.) Andrew's motion noted that Beverly had admitted that the court had personal jurisdiction over Beverly in this matter when Beverly answered the complaint. (Dkt. No. 12 at 2). Beverly stated in its response to Andrew's motion to strike that Beverly will "voluntarily withdraw its third affirmative defense." (Dkt. No. 19.)

Consequently, Beverly's Third Affirmative Defense asserting that "this court lacks personal jurisdiction over Beverly Manufacturing due to insufficiency of service of process" is stricken. Andrew's motion to strike (Dkt. No 16), is moot.